## PLEUROBEMA LEWISII (LEA).

#### BY BRYANT WALKER.

As the result of some recent correspondence between Mr. L. S. Frierson and the writer, it has become evident that the synonymy, in which this species was included by Simpson in his Synopsis and Descriptive Catalogue, will have to be revised. We are both of the opinion that this species is entitled to specific recognition for the following reasons.

The synonymy given by Simpson is briefly this:

1834. Unio mytilloides var. Conrad. Type locality, Alabama River.

1834. Unio cor Conrad. From the Elk and Flint rivers, Ala.

1861. Unio crapulus Lea. Type locality, Etowah River, Ga.

1861. Unio lewisii Lea. Type locality, Coosa River, Ala.

Just what Conrad's mytilloides var. was, is not certain. If it was not a Pleurobema, it is entirely immaterial what it was so far as the species under consideration is concerned. But it was apparently a Pleurobema from the Alabama River. If so, it is equally immaterial what it was, so far as nomenclatorial purposes are concerned. In 1820 Rafinesque described a species from the Wabash as Pleurobema mytilloides. As in the case of so many of the species described by this author, there has always been an element of uncertainty as to what his species really was. By a general concensus of opinion among the earlier students of American Unionidæ, it was considered to be the same as the species subsequently described by Lea as Unio pyramidatus. Dr. Lea, himself, gave it doubtful recognition as a valid species and placed it near pyramidatus in his Synopsis. Simpson states that, in his opinion, the shells under this name in the Lea collection are an elongated form of pyramidatus. Conrad in his Synopsis of 1853 considered it a synonym of Lamarck's clava. It is quite probable that he was entirely correct in this disposition of this species, which would be a very satisfactory solution of the problem. It seems to be reasonably certain, however, that it was either clava Lam. or pyramidatus Lea. According to Dr. Ortmann, pyramidatus Lea is a Pleurobema and mot a Quadrula. But apecies can be satisfall entilloides Raf, is it sweenymy. If it is deter of that species, portant point here, the again for a different of Contad's mytilloides we the species is clearly in Heurobema.

The shells, on which the Elk and Flint rive taries of the Tenness described by Conrada by Lea. Mr. Frierson Jan., 1916, p. 102), that it is not the subscribe. With the greading of the faunas of systems, it has become very few species of Ph. The fauna of the Tenover and there can be fauna that can by an Lea's species.

The elimination of a two species from the stiming, for the purpo ymous, what name she same paper, but erapu under the Code (see Northe opinion that preferrisi for the following

- 1. The lewisii Lea is the Coosa, and there c
- 2. Lea's crapulus ea described from a sing been found by any of

O (LEA).

COR.

condence between Mr. L. become evident that the conducted by Simpson in a could have to be revised. The circuit is entitled to specific

briefly this:

Type locality, Alabama

Elk and Flint rivers, Ala. anlity, Etowah River, Ga. dity, Coosa River, Ala. was, is not certain. If it comaterial what it was so is concerned. But it was abama River. If so, it is ter as nomenclatorial puracque described a species dloides. As in the case of this author, there has alto what his species really nion among the earlier stuconsidered to be the same by Lea as Unio pyramidatful recognition as a valid 18 in his Synopsis. Simpalls under this name in the e of pyramidatus. Conrad t a synonym of Lamarck's was entirely correct in this and be a very satisfactory to be reasonably certain, . or pyramidatus Lea. Acs Lea is a Pleurobema and not a Quadrula. But even this is immaterial, if Rafinesque's species can be satisfactorily identified as either of those species. If mytilloides Raf. is the same as clava Lam., it disappears in the synonymy. If it is the pyramidatus Lea, it would take precedence of that species. But in either event, and that is the important point here, the specific name mytilloides can not be used again for a different species of Pleurobema. So that, although Conrad's mytilloides was described as an Unio, his name, even if the species is clearly identified, can not be used if his type was a Pleurobema.

The shells, on which Conrad based his Unio cor, came from the Elk and Flint rivers, Ala. Both of these streams are tributaries of the Tennessee River. It is probable that the form described by Conrad as cor represents some species also described by Lea. Mr. Frierson has investigated that question (Nautilus, Jan., 1916, p. 102). But whatever U. cor is, it is quite clear that it is not the same as either of Lea's species, crapulus or lewisii. With the great increase in recent years of our knowledge of the faunas of the Alabama and Tennessee drainage systems, it has become more and more evident that there are very few species of Pleurobema that are common to both systems. The fauna of the Tennessee has been very thoroughly worked over and there can be no doubt that there is no species in that fauna that can by any approximation be referred to either of Lea's species.

The elimination of cor from further consideration leaves the two species from the Alabama system to be dealt with. Assuming, for the purposes of the argument, that they are synonymous, what name shall be used? Both were described in the same paper, but crapulus has page precedence. This, however, under the Code (see NAUT. xxviii, p. 125), is immaterial. I am of the opinion that precedence should be given to the name of lewisii for the following reasons:

- 1. The lewisii Lea is a well-defined and well-known species of the Coosa, and there can be no doubt as to what it is.
- 2. Lea's crapulus came from the Etowah River, Ga., and was described from a single specimen. It does not seem to have been found by any of the recent collectors. While it may be

an absolute synonym of *lewisii*, on the other hand, in view of the well-known variation of the species of this genus in the different rivers of the Alabama system, it is quite possible that it may be varietally or even specifically distinct. Its final position in the system must necessarily await its re-discovery in sufficient quantity to enable its standing to be definitely determined. If its accidental page priority were to be recognized, it would leave the specific type a matter of uncertainty for an indefinite period.

3. By adopting *lewisii* as the specific name, Dr. Lea's intention to perpetuate the memory of one of the leading conchologists of his time will be effective.

In view of these considerations and assuming the two forms to be synonymous, I select *Unio lewisii* Lea as the specific type. The synonym, therefore, would be as follows:

# PLEUROBEMA LEWISH (Lea).

1861. Unio lewisii Lea, Pr. Ac. Nat. Sci. Phila., p. 40.

1862. *Unio lewisii* Lea, Jl. Ac. Nat. Sci. Phila., v, p. 71, pl. vii, fig. 220; Obs., viii, p. 75, pl. viii, fig. 220.

1861. ? Unio crapulus Lea, Pr. Ac. Nat. Sci. Phila., p. 39.

1866. ? Unio crapulus Lea, Jl. Ac. Nat. Sci. vi, p. 42, pl. xv. fig. 40.

1867. ? Unio crapulus Lea, Obs., xi, p. 46, pl. xv, fig. 40.

1900. Pleurobema cor Simpson, Syn., p. 754 (not of Conrad).

1914. Pleurobema cor Simpson, Desc. Cat., p. 765 (not of Conrad).

Note.—The foregoing article was received before the publication of that on U. cor in the January number. Most of the matter relating to cor has therefore been eliminated.—Eds.

### ANODONTA DANIELSI LEA IN COLORADO.

## BY MAX M. ELLIS.

While collecting fishes during October in Black Wolf Creek, a tributary of the Arikaree River in eastern Colorado, a large, isolated colony of bivalves was discovered, specimens from which have been id a welst Len. This species from Color-lensure to occur in Caralities, Lodgepule of the state and a Manderson, Molla

The stream, Blue timak, about seven ing low water. If from the Coloradoquently goes dry in Wall Creek which small springs, is le The bottom of this in the backwaters t have been placed a purposes. Back of feet or more for a parlians of the str in this quiet water teen deposited on melies thick.

The colony Anchaek of the upper about two and or Indian battlegrow little more than a rially the depth of stream. This fact broken shells on a been carried by m water back of the changed the wate found has been e were very abundan space a foot squidense blue clay s